

CARLSMITH BALL LLP
Carlsmith Building, Capitol Hill
P.O. Box 5241
Saipan, MP 96950-5241
Tel No. 670.322.3455

FILED
Clerk
District Court

JUN 12 2006

Attorneys for Defendant
Maeda Pacific Corporation

For The Northern Mariana Islands
By _____
(Deputy Clerk)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE
NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS

TOSHIHIRO TAKAHASHI,

Plaintiff,

vs.

MAEDA PACIFIC CORPORATION,

Defendant.


CIVIL ACTION NO. CV 05-0026

DEFENDANT MAEDA PACIFIC
CORPORATION'S PROPOSED SPECIAL
VERDICT FORM

Comes now Defendant Maeda Pacific Corporation, by and through its attorneys,
Carlsmith Ball LLP, and hereby submits its proposed Special Verdict Form.

CARLSMITH BALL LLP

DATED: Saipan, MP, June 12, 2006.


JOHN D. OSBORN
Attorneys for Defendant
Maeda Pacific Corporation

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE

NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS

TOSHIHIRO TAKAHASHI,

Plaintiff,

vs.

MAEDA PACIFIC CORPORATION,

Defendant.

CIVIL ACTION NO. CV 05-0026

SPECIAL VERDICT

We, the JURY, find the following special verdict on the questions submitted to us in Trial:

Question No. 1: Was Defendant Maeda Pacific Corporation negligent as alleged by Plaintiff Toshihiro Takahashi?

Answer "yes" or "no".

ANSWER: Yes _____ No _____

If your answer to Question No. 1 is "no", sign and return this verdict form. STOP HERE.

If your answer to Question No. 1 is "yes", then answer Question 2.

Question No. 2: Pursuant to your finding to Question No. 1 that Defendant Maeda Pacific Corporation was negligent, was such negligence a proximate and legal cause of injury or damage to the Plaintiff?

Answer "yes" or "no".

ANSWER: Yes _____ No _____

If your answer to Question No. 2 is "no", sign and return this verdict form. STOP HERE.

If your answer to Question No. 2 is "yes", then answer Question 3.

Question No. 3: Was Plaintiff Toshihiro Takahashi negligent?

Answer "yes" or "no".

ANSWER: Yes _____ No _____

If your answer to Question No. 3 is "no", then skip to answer Question No. 6. If your answer to Question No. 3 is "yes", then answer the next question.

Question No. 4: Did the negligence of Plaintiff Toshihiro Takahashi contribute as a cause of plaintiff's injury?

Answer "yes" or "no".

ANSWER: Yes _____ No _____

If your answer to Question No. 4 is "no", then skip to and answer Question No. 6. If your answer to Question No. 4 is "yes", then answer the next question.

Question No. 5: 100% represents the combined negligence and/or fault of the plaintiff and the defendant whose negligence and/or fault contributed as a cause of plaintiff's injuries. What proportion, if any, of the combined negligence and/or fault is attributable to the Plaintiff Toshihiro Takahashi on the one hand and to the Defendant Maeda Pacific Corporation on the other hand? [Your answer must total 100%]

ANSWER:	To Plaintiff Toshiro Takahashi	_____ %
	To Defendant Maeda Pacific Corporation	_____ %
	TOTAL	100%

Question No. 6: What is the total amount of damages, if any, suffered by the Plaintiff Toshihiro Takahashi?

ANSWER:	(a) ECONOMIC DAMAGES	\$ _____
	(B) NON-ECONOMIC DAMAGES	\$ _____
	TOTAL	\$ _____

DATE

FOREPERSON